# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48990 HS BUSINESS INC. dba HS Chevron RN101907947 Docket No. 2014-1004-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

**Findings Order Justification:** 

N/A

Media:

PST

**Small Business:** 

Yes

**Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:** 

HS Chevron, 7017 Farm-to-Market Road 1960 West, Humble, Harris County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

**Other Significant Matters:** 

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: November 28, 2014

Comments Received: No

**Penalty Information** 

**Total Penalty Assessed:** \$11,438

**Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement:** \$2,287 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

**Total Paid to General Revenue:** \$261 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$8,890

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$254 each

**SEP Conditional Offset:** \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

**Compliance History Classifications:** 

Person/CN - High Site/RN - High

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A Applicable Penalty Policy: April 2014

**Investigation Information** 

Complaint Date(s): N/A

**Complaint Information: N/A** 

Date(s) of Investigation: May 14, 2014

Date(s) of NOE(s): July 11, 2014

# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48990 HS BUSINESS INC. dba HS Chevron RN101907947 Docket No. 2014-1004-PST-E

## **Violation Information**

- 1. Failed to ensure that the underground storage tank ("UST") system is operated, maintained, and managed in a manner that will prevent releases of regulated substances from such systems. Specifically, the TCEQ investigator observed fuel in both the regular and super unleaded submersible pump sumps [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.48(a)].
- 2. Failed to conduct reconciliation of detailed inventory control records at least once each month, in a manner sufficiently accurate to detect a release which equals or exceeds the sum of 1.0 percent of the total substance flow-through for the month plus 130 gallons [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(d)(1)(B)(ii) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 3. Failed to conduct an automatic tank test for substance loss that can detect a release of 0.2 gallon per hour from any portion of the UST which contains regulated substances [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(d)(4)(A)(ii)(II) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 4. Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order, and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, including but not limited to absence or disconnection of any component that is part of the approved system. Specifically, the volume-to-liquid ratio test failed on dispenser nos. 1 and 2, and the nozzle boot was torn on dispenser no. 4 [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(d)(3)(G) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)].
- 5. Failed to post operating instructions conspicuously on the front of each gasoline dispensing pump equipped with a Stage II vapor recovery system [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.242(d)(9) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)].

# Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

# **Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

The Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures:

- a. Repaired the leak under dispenser nos. 5 and 6, removed fuel from both the regular and super unleaded submersible pump sumps, and disposed of it at an authorized facility on June 2, 2014;
- b. Began conducting reconciliation of inventory control records and implemented statistical inventory reconciliation as a release detection method for all USTs on September 2, 2014;

# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48990 HS BUSINESS INC. dba HS Chevron RN101907947 Docket No. 2014-1004-PST-E

c. Replaced the nozzle boot on dispenser no. 4, performed all necessary repairs on dispenser nos. 1 and 2, and retested the Stage II vapor recovery system on June 3, 2014; and

d. Posted operating instructions on all dispensers on July 17, 2014.

# **Technical Requirements:**

N/A

# Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

# **Contact Information**

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

**TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator:** Mike Pace, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC R-04, (817) 588-5933; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division, MC 219,

(512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

**Respondent:** Asim Hafeiz, President, HS BUSINESS INC., 611 East Richey Road,

Houston, Texas 77073

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

			i e

#### Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision March 26, 2014 Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) Assigned 14-Jul-2014 Screening 15-Jul-2014 **EPA Due** PCW 24-Sep-2014 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent HS BUSINESS INC. dba HS Chevron Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101907947 Facility/Site Region 12-Houston Major/Minor Source Major CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 48990 No. of Violations 3 Docket No. 2014-1004-PST-E Order Type 1660 Government/Non-Profit No Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Mike Pace EC's Team Enforcement Team 6 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$15,000 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** -10.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 -\$1,500 Reduction for high performer classification. Notes 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0 Culpability No The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 -\$2,062 0.0% Enhancement\* Subtotal 6 \$0 **Economic Benefit** Total EB Amounts Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Estimated Cost of Compliance

0.0%

20.0%

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

\$11,438

\$11,438

\$11,438

-\$2,287

\$9,151

Adjustment

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction Adjustment

\$0

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

Notes

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g.

PAYABLE PENALTY

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

**Docket No.** 2014-1004-PST-E

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)

PCW Revision March 26, 2014

PCW

Respondent HS BUSINESS INC. dba HS Chevron

Case ID No. 48990

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101907947

**Media [Statute]** Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Mike Pace

**Compliance History Worksheet** 

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Aujust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%
Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government ( <i>number of counts</i> )	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
		ease Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
***************************************	Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	total 2) [
at Violator (			
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	otal 3)
	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		-
High Per	former Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	total 7)
pliance Histo	ory Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Reduction for high performer classification.		
Compliance History			

Screening Date		PCW
		licy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Case ID No		N Revision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Reference No		
	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinato Violation Numbe		
		7
Rule Cite(s	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.48(a)	
	Failed to ensure that the underground storage tank ("UST") system is operated,	
Violation Descriptio	maintained, and managed in a manner that will prevent releases of regulated	
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SE	"substances from such systems. Specifically, the TCEQ investigator observed fuel both the regular and super unleaded submersible pump sumps."	n j
THE STATE OF THE S	boar the regular and super unleaded submersible pump sumps.	
The second secon	BRILLIAN CONTROL OF BREESERS.	
Remoter	Base Penalt	<b>y</b> \$25,000
>> Environmental, Prope	erty and Human Health Matrix	
Releas	<b>Harm</b> s <b>e</b> Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actu		
Potenti		
. 5.5.10.		
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification		
	Percent 0.0%	
		<del></del> 1
Matrix II	Ith or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which	111 <b>1</b>
Notes do not excee	ed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of	λf∥
	the violation.	
	*** * ***	<del>-</del>
	Adjustment \$21,25	의
TO THE PARTY OF TH		\$3,750
		****
Violation Events		
Number o	f Violation Events 1 19 Number of violation days	
	daily	
	weekly	
	monthly	
mark only one	quarterly X Violation Base Penalt	y \$3,750
with an x	semiannual	*
	annual	and the second s
va 0	single event ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	
		-
One quart	erly event is recommended from the May 14, 2014 investigation to the June 2, 2014	
	compliance date,	
	<u>andre allittäminne avatta esimmättiminen alliniattiminet tiettea, auttiet alliallittimittämine</u> A	
Good Faith Efforts to Cor	mply 25.0% Reduction	\$937
GUOU PAILII EIIOI IS IO COI	Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$957
****	Extraordinary	
	Ordinary x	
	N/A (mark with x)	
***	The Respondent came into compliance on June 2, 2014,	
***************************************	Notes prior to the Notice of Enforcement ("NOE") dated July	
	11,,2014.	
A 100 A		
**************************************	Violation Subtota	\$2,813
Economic Benefit (EB) fo	or this violation Statutory Limit Test	
<b>F</b> ctim:	ated EB Amount \$4 Violation Final Penalty Tota	\$2,438
Estime	Total Periodicy Folding Folding Folding Folding Folding Folding	• \$2,730
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits	\$2,438

Violation No.	1					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	Depreciation
	Thom Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Vre	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description			· mu. Dutc		amerest bureu	oncome added	LD AIIIOGII
	i in banana y	•				,	
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	11			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	\$1.602	14-May-2014	2-Jun-2014	0.05	\$4	n/a	\$4
Permit Costs	\$1.602	14-May-2014	2-Jun-2014	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
	Actual cost p	per reciept to repai	r the leak unde	0.00 0.00 r dispen	\$0 \$0 ser nos. 5 and 6,	n/a n/a remove fuel from b	\$0 \$0 oth the regular
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	Actual cost pand supe	er reciept to repai r unleaded subme required is the i	r the leak under rsible pump sur nvestigation da	0.00 0.00 dispen nps, and te, and	\$0 \$0 ser nos. 5 and 6, d dispose of it at a the final date is th g item (except	n/a n/a remove fuel from bo an authorized facility ne compliance date. for one-time avoic	\$0 \$0 oth the regular . The date
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal	Actual cost pand supe	er reciept to repai r unleaded subme required is the i	r the leak under rsible pump sur nvestigation da	0.00 0.00 r dispen nps, and te, and enterin	\$0 \$0 ser nos. 5 and 6, d dispose of it at a the final date is th g item (except \$0	n/a n/a remove fuel from be an authorized facility ne compliance date. for one-time avoic \$0	\$0 \$0 oth the regular . The date led costs) \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Actual cost pand supe	er reciept to repai r unleaded subme required is the i	r the leak under rsible pump sur nvestigation da	dispenses, and entering 0.00	\$0 \$0 ser nos. 5 and 6, d dispose of it at a the final date is th g item (except \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a remove fuel from been authorized facility ne compliance date. for one-time avoic \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 oth the regular . The date led costs) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	Actual cost pand supe	er reciept to repai r unleaded subme required is the i	r the leak under rsible pump sur nvestigation da	0.00 0.00 dispenses, and te, and enterin 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 ser nos. 5 and 6, d dispose of it at a the final date is th g item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a remove fuel from been authorized facility ne compliance date.  for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 oth the regular . The date led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	Actual cost pand supe	er reciept to repai r unleaded subme required is the i	r the leak under rsible pump sur nvestigation da	0.00   0.	\$0 \$0 ser nos. 5 and 6, d dispose of it at a the final date is th g item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a remove fuel from bean authorized facility ne compliance date. for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 oth the regular . The date led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	Actual cost pand supe	er reciept to repai r unleaded subme required is the i	r the leak under rsible pump sur nvestigation da	0.00 0.00 dispenses, and te, and enterin 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 ser nos. 5 and 6, d dispose of it at a the final date is th g item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a remove fuel from been authorized facility ne compliance date.  for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 oth the regular . The date led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0

	ning Date		PCW
		HS BUSINESS INC. dba HS Chevron	Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
	ise ID No.		PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Refe	rence No.	RN101907947	
		Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Co	ordinator	Mike Pace	:
Violat	ion Number		
	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(d)(1)(B)(ii) and (d)(4)(A)(ii)(II) and Tex. Wa	ter
		Code § 26.3475(c)(1)	
	:	Failed to conduct reconciliation of detailed inventory control records at least o	nre
		each month, in a manner sufficiently accurate to detect a release which equal	
	<u> </u>	exceeds the sum of 1.0 percent of the total substance flow-through for the mo	
Violation	Description	plus 130 gallons. Also, falled to perform an automatic tank test for substance	
		that can detect a release of 0.2 gallons per hour from any portion of the UST w	/hich
		contains regulated substances.	
		Book Bo	the #25 000
		Base Per	nalty \$25,000
>> Environment	tal, Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
	m - 1	Harm	
OR	Release	Major Moderate Minor	
UK	Actual		
	Potential	Percent 30.0%	
>>Programmati	c Matrix		
rivgi amimak	Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
Γ		Percent 0.0%	
Matrix	Human healtl	or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed k	evels
Notes		rotective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation	
L			<u></u>
		###: ### ### #########################	7 500
		Adjustment \$17	7,500
			\$7,500
<b>Violation Events</b>			
	Missaala ass a£		
	number or	Violation Events 62 Number of violation days	
		daily	
		weekly	
		monthly	
	mark only one	quarterly X Violation Base Per	nalty \$7,500
	with an x	semiannual	
		annual	
		single event	
F		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	One quarte	ly event is recommended from the May 14, 2014 investigation to the July 15, 20	14
		screening date.	
L			
Good Faith Effor	rte to Com	ply 10.0% Requ	ction \$750
Juga i aidi Eiloi		Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	9,00
		Extraordinary	
		Ordinary X	
		N/A (mark with x)	
		Notes The Respondent came into compliance on September 2,	
		2014, after the NOE dated July 11, 2014.	
			•
		Violation Sub	total \$6,750
<b>Economic Benef</b>	it (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit Tes	rt .
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Estimat	ed EB Amount \$23 Violation Final Penalty 1	rotal \$6,000
		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for lin	nits) \$6,000
		ring violation i mai Assessed Felially (aujusted tot illi	
aananaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa			

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	HS BUSINESS	INC. dba HS Che	vron				
Case ID No.	48990						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101907947	•					
	Petroleum Sto						Years of
Violation No.		age raint				Percent Interest	Depreciation
violation ivo.	2.					5.0	15
	Them Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Vec	Interest Saved	******************	EB Amount
<b></b>			iniai sate	88.2	Anterest Sarcu	Onetime bosts	LD Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
				0100101010101			
Delayed Costs		7		T 0 00	***		+0
Equipment				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u>
Buildings				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u> \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/Construction Land		1		0.00	\$0	n/a	<u>50</u> \$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	1/9	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	14-May-2014	2-Sen-2014	0.30	\$23	n/a	\$23
Notes for DELAYED costs	USTs at the S	tation. The date	required is the	nvestig	ation date, and th	release detection ne final date is the co	mpliance date.
Avoided Costs Disposal	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	so so	for one-time avoid	so
Personnel				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
nspection/Reporting/Sampling		1		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,500			TOTAL		\$23

Screening Date		PCW
	HS BUSINESS INC. dba HS Chevron	Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Case ID No.		PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		The state of the s
	Petroleum Storage Tank	The state of the s
Enf. Coordinator		Missine
Violation Number	3 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.242(d)(3)(G) and (d)(9) and Tex. Health & Safet	v Code
Ruic Cite(3)	§ 382.085(b)	
Violation Description	Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating co as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resou Board Executive Order, and free of defects that would impair the effectiven- the system, including but not limited to absence or disconnection of any com that is part of the approved system. Specifically, the volume-to-liquid ratio failed on dispenser nos. 1 and 2, and the nozzle boot was torn on dispenser Also, failed to post operating instructions conspicuously on the front of each of dispensing pump equipped with a Stage II vapor recovery system.	ess of ponent of the state of t
	Base F	Penalty \$25,000
Release OR Actual Potential  >>Programmatic Matrix Falsification  Human health	ty and Human Health Matrix  Harm  Major Moderate Minor  Major Moderate Minor  Percent 15.0%  Major Moderate Minor  Percent 0.0%  or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants were that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result violation.  Adjustment \$\frac{1}{2}\$	21,250
		\$3,750
Violation Events		
Number of	/iolation Events 1 62 Number of violation da	VC
Number of	Number of violation day	уъ
mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	Penalty \$3,750
One quarter	ly event is recommended from the May 14, 2014 investigation to the July 15, screening date.	2014
Good Faith Efforts to Com	Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer  Extraordinary  Ordinary  N/A  (mark with x)  Notes  The Respondent came into compliance on July 17, 2014, after the NOE dated July 11, 2014.	duction \$375
ALADON AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	Violation Su	ubtotal \$3,375
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit T	est
Estimat	ed EB Amount \$4 Violation Final Penalty	y Total \$3,000
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$3,000

		conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent		INC. dba HS Che				***************************************	
Case ID No.	48990						
eg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101907947						
	Petroleum Sto						Years of
Violation No.	53					Percent Interest	Depreciation
Figiation 110:	, 3					5.0	
	******	nata na artara	Pius Pas		7	Onetime Costs	
		Date Required	Final Date	TIS	Interest Saveo	Unetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	I No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs	i						
Equipment	\$1,000	14-May-2014	3-Jun-2014	0.05	\$0	\$4	\$4
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System		<b></b>		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	ļ	<del> </del>		0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs		<u> </u>		<u> 1 U.UU</u>		n/a	>U
Other (as needed)		14-May-2014				n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co	ost to replace the , retest the Stage	nozzle boot on o II vapor recov	dispens ery sys	ser no. 4, perform tem (\$1,000), and	all necessary repair I post operating inst	s on dispenser ructions on all
	Estimated co nos. 1 and 2 dispensers (	ost to replace the , retest the Stage \$50). The dates i	nozzle boot on o II vapor recove equired are the dat	dispens ery sys invest es, res	ser no. 4, perform tem (\$1,000), and tigation date, and pectively.	all necessary repair I post operating inst the final dates are t	s on dispenser ructions on all he compliance
	Estimated co nos. 1 and 2 dispensers (	ost to replace the , retest the Stage \$50). The dates i	nozzle boot on o II vapor recove equired are the dat	dispens ery sys invest es, res	ser no. 4, perform tem (\$1,000), and tigation date, and pectively.	all necessary repair I post operating inst	s on dispenser ructions on all he compliance
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co nos. 1 and 2 dispensers (	ost to replace the , retest the Stage \$50). The dates i	nozzle boot on o II vapor recove equired are the dat	dispensery system investigation investigatio	ser no. 4, perform tem (\$1,000), and tigation date, and spectively. ng item (except \$0	all necessary repair I post operating inst the final dates are ti  for one-time avoid \$0	s on dispenser ructions on all he compliance ded costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	Estimated co nos. 1 and 2 dispensers (	ost to replace the , retest the Stage \$50). The dates i	nozzle boot on o II vapor recove equired are the dat	dispensery system investes, resentering 0.00	ser no. 4, perform tem (\$1,000), and tigation date, and pectively. ng item (except \$0 \$0	all necessary repair I post operating inst the final dates are to for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	s on dispenser ructions on all he compliance ded costs) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel	Estimated co nos. 1 and 2 dispensers (	ost to replace the , retest the Stage \$50). The dates i	nozzle boot on o II vapor recove equired are the dat	dispensery systems, resters, resters, 0.00 0.00 0.00	ser no. 4, perform tem (\$1,000), and tigation date, and pectively. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	all necessary repair I post operating inst the final dates are to for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	s on dispenser ructions on all the compliance  ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	Estimated co nos. 1 and 2 dispensers (	ost to replace the , retest the Stage \$50). The dates i	nozzle boot on o II vapor recove equired are the dat	dispensery systems, restermined to the content of t	ser no. 4, perform tem (\$1,000), and tigation date, and spectively.  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	all necessary repair I post operating inst the final dates are to for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	s on dispenser ructions on all he compliance ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co nos. 1 and 2 dispensers (	ost to replace the , retest the Stage \$50). The dates i	nozzle boot on o II vapor recove equired are the dat	dispensery systems, resterior of the content of the	ser no. 4, perform tem (\$1,000), and tigation date, and spectively. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	all necessary repair I post operating inst the final dates are to for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	s on dispenser ructions on all he compliance ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co nos. 1 and 2 dispensers (	ost to replace the , retest the Stage \$50). The dates i	nozzle boot on o II vapor recove equired are the dat	dispensery system, reserventerial 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	ser no. 4, perform tem (\$1,000), and tigation date, and spectively.  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	all necessary repair I post operating inst the final dates are to for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	s on dispenser ructions on all he compliance ded costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co nos. 1 and 2 dispensers (	ost to replace the , retest the Stage \$50). The dates i	nozzle boot on o II vapor recove equired are the dat	dispensery systems, resterior of the content of the	ser no. 4, perform tem (\$1,000), and tigation date, and spectively.  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	all necessary repair I post operating inst the final dates are to for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	s on dispenser ructions on all he compliance  ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co nos. 1 and 2 dispensers (	ost to replace the , retest the Stage \$50). The dates i	nozzle boot on o II vapor recove equired are the dat	dispensery system, reserventerial 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	ser no. 4, perform tem (\$1,000), and tigation date, and spectively.  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	all necessary repair I post operating inst the final dates are to for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	s on dispenser ructions on all he compliance ded costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated co nos. 1 and 2 dispensers (	ost to replace the , retest the Stage \$50). The dates i	nozzle boot on o II vapor recove equired are the dat	dispensery system, reserventerial 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	ser no. 4, perform tem (\$1,000), and tigation date, and spectively.  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	all necessary repair I post operating inst the final dates are to for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	s on dispenser ructions on all he compliance ded costs)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility.

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEO Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



**CEQ** Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN601042450, RN101907947, Rating Year 2013 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2008, through August 31, 2013.

Customer, Respondent, CN601042450, HS BUSINESS INC. dba

Classification: HIGH

Rating: 0.00

or Owner/Operator:

**HS** Chevron

**Regulated Entity:** 

RN101907947, HS Chevron

Classification: HIGH

Rating: 0.00

**Complexity Points:** 

3

Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group:

14 - Other

Location:

7017 FM RD 1960 W HUMBLE, TX 77338-3461, HARRIS COUNTY

TCEQ Region:

**REGION 12 - HOUSTON** 

ID Number(s): PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION REGISTRATION 28663

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2008 to August 31, 2013

Rating Year: 2013

Rating Date: 09/01/2013

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: July 15, 2014 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: July 15, 2009 to July 15, 2014

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Mike Pace

Phone: (817) 588-5933

#### **Site and Owner/Operator History:**

YES 1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? NO

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

4) If **YES** for #2, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? 5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur?

#### Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

N/A

**B.** Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

May 28, 2012

(1007328)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

**Sites Outside of Texas:** 

N/A

		q.			

# Texas Commission on Environmental Quality



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
HS BUSINESS INC.	§	
DBA HS CHEVRON	§	
RN101907947	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2014-1004-PST-E

## I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding HS BUSINESS INC. dba HS Chevron ("Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382 and Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 7017 Farm-to-Market Road 1960 West in Humble, Harris County, Texas (the "Station").
- 2. The Respondent's two underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission. The Station consists of one or more sources as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.003(12).
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about July 16, 2014.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Eleven Thousand Four Hundred Thirty-Eight Dollars (\$11,438) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Two Hundred Sixty-One Dollars (\$261) of the administrative penalty and Two Thousand Two Hundred Eighty-Seven Dollars (\$2,287) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Eight Thousand Eight Hundred Ninty Dollars (\$8,890) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of Two Hundred Fifty-Four Dollars (\$254) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Station:
  - a. Repaired the leak under dispenser nos. 5 and 6, removed fuel from both the regular and super unleaded submersible pump sumps, and disposed of it at an authorized facility on June 2, 2014;
  - b. Began conducting reconciliation of inventory control records and implemented statistical inventory reconciliation as a release detection method for all USTs on September 2, 2014;
  - c. Replaced the nozzle boot on dispenser no. 4, performed all necessary repairs on dispenser nos. 1 and 2, and retested the Stage II vapor recovery system on June 3, 2014; and
  - d. Posted operating instructions on all dispensers on July 17, 2014.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement

proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.

- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Station, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to ensure that the UST system is operated, maintained, and managed in a manner that will prevent releases of regulated substances from such systems, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.48(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on May 14, 2014. Specifically, the TCEQ investigator observed fuel in both the regular and super unleaded submersible pump sumps.
- 2. Failed to conduct reconciliation of detailed inventory control records at least once each month, in a manner sufficiently accurate to detect a release which equals or exceeds the sum of 1.0 percent of the total substance flow-through for the month plus 130 gallons, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(d)(1)(B)(ii) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on May 14, 2014.
- 3. Failed to conduct an automatic tank test for substance loss that can detect a release of 0.2 gallons per hour from any portion of the UST which contains regulated substances, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(d)(4)(A)(ii)(II) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on May 14, 2014.
- 4. Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order, and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, including but not limited to absence or disconnection of any component that is part of the approved system, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.242(d)(3)(G) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on May 14, 2014. Specifically, the volume-to-liquid ratio test failed on dispenser nos. 1 and 2, and the nozzle boot was torn on dispenser no. 4.
- 5. Failed to post operating instructions conspicuously on the front of each gasoline dispensing pump equipped with a Stage II vapor recovery system, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(d)(9) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on May 14, 2014.

#### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: HS BUSINESS INC. dba HS Chevron, Docket No. 2014-1004-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Station operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 3. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 5. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

HS BUSINESS INC. dba HS Chevron DOCKET NO. 2014-1004-PST-E Page 5

- 6. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 7. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

SIM HAFEIZ

HS BUSINESS INC. dba HS Chevron

Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of

# 505**SIGNATURE PAGE**

## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	<b>2/6)15</b> Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of do agree to the terms and conditions specified the accepting payment for the penalty amount, is many	the entity indicated below my signature, and I nerein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in
<ul><li>additional penalties, and/or attorney fees,</li><li>Increased penalties in any future enforcen</li></ul>	may result in:  as submitted; eneral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, , or to a collection agency; nent actions; ral's Office of any future enforcement actions; y law.
Asim Hefei	Date (0 - 28 - 14.

**Instructions**: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

President